


# Q1 Do you live or work nearby the intersection of Bryant and Embarcadero?

Answered: 89 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	96.63%	86
No	3.37%	3
TOTAL		89

## Q2 What are your initial concerns about a homeless shelter for 175-225 guests pre-selected by Public Health Department and other City government staff to be built and operational by the summer of 2019 and operate for at least 4 years?

Answered: 88 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I am concerned about the homelessness in my neighborhood. It's already here, a Navigation Center may move the concentration of people by a few blocks, but at least they will be housed and have access to services.	3/10/2019 8:54 PM
2	Needles and increase in crime.	3/10/2019 5:57 PM
3	Affect on tourism, safety/cleanliness/danger in the neighborhood, and the city's statement that it will "not require a rigorous permitting process" for construction.	3/10/2019 5:38 PM
4	The city won't police or clean the area. It will ruin a beautiful area with lots of foot traffic including tourism and Giants baseball games.	3/10/2019 4:50 PM
5	Increased crime. Even more shit on the streets. Needles. Possible injury/assault of a local kid. One does not have to be a genius to know that it is not right to put a homeless shelter in a residential neighborhood.	3/10/2019 4:16 PM
6	More crime, being accosted by panhandlers, more drug use in the area, turning most of the most iconic areas of the city into an "area to avoid", like the tenderloin.	3/10/2019 4:12 PM
7	Increased crime. Increased drug usage. Even more needles on the street. Even more human feces on the street. Endangering children. Impact on tourism. One does not need a doctorate in city planning to know that you do not put a magnet for homeless in a residential area and especially not in a tourist area.	3/10/2019 4:03 PM
8	Increased crime, trash, drug use in area along waterfront frequented by joggers, commuters, and families.	3/10/2019 3:57 PM
9	Crime. Drugs. More homeless. Dirt. Filth. Even more sh*t on the streets. Wait until one of the unhinged homeless assaults a child. What a tragedy waiting to happen. London and Matt, will you get re-elected after a child dies because of an assault by a crazy homeless person for your ill-advised shelter in a residential area?	3/10/2019 3:55 PM
10	Maintaining safety and cleanliness of our neighborhood. Traffic and congestion is already atrocious in this area (even in baseball off-season). How will the city "pre-select" guests? Why not start with a pilot program with a smaller group of clients to evaluate effectiveness first?	3/10/2019 3:48 PM
11	Crime, drugs, nakedness, mayhem will ensure. Waste of taxpayer money. Danger to children. You have to be really stupid to put a magnet for dangerous homeless people in a residential and tourist area. Typical for SF, a city that can't even keep its streets paved.	3/10/2019 3:48 PM
12	No. No. No. Does Paris put a homeless shelter under the Eiffel Tower? Does London put a homeless shelter in Piccadilly Circus? Does New York put a homeless center on Times Square? The answer is a resounding no. Nor should San Francisco put a homeless shelter near the heart of the waterfront, which is a huge tourist attraction." More than 25 million visitors come to San Francisco and spend more than \$9 billion dollars annually. The tourism industry generates more than \$700 million in taxes and fees for the City of San Francisco.	3/10/2019 3:43 PM
13	ruin the neighborhood with crime, filth, more homeless, etc.	3/10/2019 3:15 PM
14	None. It's too small. My main concerns are that telegraph hill dwellers will be horrible people and fight it	3/10/2019 2:12 PM
15	None.	3/10/2019 1:41 PM

16	The nav center model is not a traditional emergency shelter but it does address the problem of homeless engagement by meeting people as they are. The drawback is you must be referred, no self check-in. Once there, you are diagnosed and/or assessed according to medical necessity or need. Many, if from out of town, will be offered Homeward Bound. If housing isn't found or HB does not work then folks will cycle back to the streets with no solution. The larger occupancy is to achieve economies of scale but may become unwieldy. Unlike Delancey Street, engagement with services is purely voluntary which means, for some, the nav center will function as a way station that helps folks escape inclement weather and hazardous health conditions, but which also reduces prohibitively expensive emergency room visits, one of the unwritten goals of any nav center.	3/10/2019 11:53 AM
17	I have zero confidence in local leadership to implement this effectively without profound consequences to nearby residents. I live one block from where this camp will be and would not have bought my property if it was there when I was looking to buy - which means future buyers will run. It will impact property values, crime, cleanliness, etc. Zero confidence that anything positive will happen to the neighborhood. The optics of using some of the most valuable and visible land in the nation for this purpose is staggering to me. Why put this so close to the most dense residential area. Bottom line - I don't trust my city leadership, and would not feel safe as a citizen with this as my neighbor.	3/10/2019 11:01 AM
18	As a homeowner in the neighborhood we strongly oppose. Why would the city leverage prime real estate? Especially since the Nav center does not allow walk ins. City could easily transport people to other less prioritized real estate. Majority of homeless being sheltered in our district. We have concerns that our property values will decrease and we paid premium prices and exorbitant taxes to live here. Plus the security concern for the residents.	3/10/2019 10:54 AM
19	The location could not be worse. This is a residential and tourist area. No other navigation centers are in such an area. It needs to be build elsewhere.	3/10/2019 10:17 AM
20	Allowing a large number of people who are allowed to do drugs concentrated in 1 space on a busy street where locals and visitors walk past daily. There will be overflow on to the streets in this area. A homeless shelter like this should be smaller and there should be multiple locations. Locations closer to Townsend and 5th or in China Basin or Mission Bay, where the presence of homeless on the streets is greater, at least as I see when walking around, seems to make more sense to me.	3/10/2019 9:45 AM
21	None assuming it can actually help the homeless crisis	3/10/2019 9:38 AM
22	More crime and drug activity and no real plan to address the needs of the homeless. There's homelessness and then there is mental illness and homelessness. How are those taken into consideration?	3/10/2019 9:23 AM
23	This is going to make our neighborhood feel more unsafe bring more garbage/drug waste into the area. I bought a condo here 9 years ago and have a real concern about the direction the homeless problem is going. The embarcadero is beautiful and adding this to the area is going to change it for the residents and the visitors.	3/10/2019 8:43 AM
24	Safety and cleanliness of streets. We are supposed to be in a walkable neighborhood	3/10/2019 8:13 AM
25	Security, image of the city (which world class city has homeless shelter in the waterfront), safety / hygiene.	3/10/2019 12:46 AM
26	1. Safety and health: there are many families with young kids living in the condo buildings near seawall 330. Today, we see 1 to 4 homeless people in that area and already we have seen violence, needles on the road, one homeless person beating another one, etc. It will get much worse when hundreds of them gather. 2. So many tourists and commuters walk by SWL 330. The homeless shelter is going to be a constant reminder that San Francisco legislators have failed to solve the homelessness problem. Is this the impression we want to give to visitors to SF?	3/10/2019 12:38 AM
27	Security. Cleanliness. Attracting other people who might hang around outside.	3/9/2019 6:31 PM
28	Security	3/9/2019 5:16 PM
29	Health and safety plus garbage	3/9/2019 4:04 PM
30	That it's installation will adversely affect the ability to enjoy the area wit friends and family.	3/9/2019 12:20 PM
31	Drawing even more homeless people into this neighborhood. It's ready overrun with homeless people, drugs and crime	3/9/2019 6:45 AM
32	I'm for the site but a concern I do have is security of the site	3/9/2019 6:45 AM


33	My main concern is that a high quality staff be hired, like the staff at the Dogpatch Navigation Center, to help the homeless residents regain a foothold in life.	3/9/2019 12:47 AM
34	quality of life, crime, assaults, burglary, sanitation, drugs, trash, health, degradation of neighborhood, filth, diseases, inappropriate use of public trust lands.	3/9/2019 12:07 AM
35	Attracting homeless persons to our residential area has already proved a mistake. Check the trash and debris after Sunday meetings at the Brannan Street Park.	3/8/2019 10:03 PM
36	- The city is taking money from the education budget (e.g., teacher salaries, books for students) to pay for a homeless shelter - The safety of hundreds of families with young children who walk there every day - The increased crime that will occur - The city is trying to bypass regular permitting processes - The safety of the runners, bicyclists and walkers who use Embarcadero everyday - The negative impact it will have on tourism for all of SF - this is one of the highest trafficked areas in the city for tourists	3/8/2019 9:56 PM
37	Crime, open drug use concentrated in just one small area so close to residential housing	3/8/2019 9:43 PM
38	deterioration of street/neighborhood sanitation; safety/crimes	3/8/2019 8:21 PM
39	I have no concern. I live less than 1/2 block away and fully and unconditionally support this proposed shelter and would also support it if it became a permanent shelter with various services, including a supervised injection site.	3/8/2019 7:15 PM
40	My only concern is that it might not get built.	3/8/2019 7:10 PM
41	I'm concerned there aren't more shelters and homes in this area. Desperately need some more housing	3/8/2019 6:22 PM
42	I am concerned because some neighbors seem to be organizing against it. The city needs more shelter. This shelter is a good idea. People who are organizing against it should spend that time with their families or friends instead of organizing.	3/8/2019 6:10 PM
43	Crime; lack of consultation by the city	3/8/2019 6:01 PM
44	Safety	3/8/2019 5:45 PM
45	Sit/ lie inforcement by CBD.	3/8/2019 10:30 AM
46	Worst concern: potential for violence by client's or client's friends. That's it!	3/8/2019 1:33 AM
47	Certainly a shelter for 175-225 persons would be a better use of the land than using it for parking, but can ambulances reach the location in a timely manner when traffic gridlock rules at many hours of the weekdays and weekends? Why jump from 84 guests at 5th and Bryant to 225 here? I worry that Vision Zero has been given zero attention by SFPD on The Embarcadero and surrounding streets, making it an extra dangerous area for people who get around primarily on foot. We need to see police patrols 24/7 to ticket speeders and dangerous drivers and also to shew away drug dealers likely attracted to such a high concentration (175-225) of people likely having a desire to escape reality with drugs. I am concerned heroin needles with multiply by the hundreds at the nearby Brannan Street Wharf and Rincon Hill Dog Park where there are few today. I fear that our long-term homeless in Rincon Hill, like a gentleman who has been sleeping on the 100 block of Main Street since at least 2006 when I moved here, will not utilize the Navigation Center because of more violent-oriented guests invited from other neighborhoods.	3/7/2019 7:54 PM
48	I believe that with the new navigation center at 5th and Bryant - that district 6 has met its obligations	3/7/2019 5:52 PM
49	Questionable people for neighbors, litter, dogs, loitering.	3/7/2019 5:51 PM
50	No concerns. I want it go up quickly, so we can start making a difference to all those already living in tents on our streets.	3/7/2019 5:30 PM
51	Parking, cleanliness, noise, safety. From a standpoint of tourism, I think this is the wrong location for the city of San Francisco. Many people from across the globe spend their hard earned money to visit our city and often end up on the Embarcadero. I think that a social services facility like this would be better located elsewhere in the city. Wouldn't the Presidio be an ideal location to build a long term facility like this?	3/7/2019 11:21 AM
52	There are statistics that show there is more crime in neighborhoods where homeless shelters reside. This neighborhood is a growing one with a lot of new, young families, including myself. I fear the presence of a shelter will make the moderately safe neighborhood much less safe for parents & young children to be outside.	3/7/2019 1:11 AM

53	It's long overdue. I'm concerned that the NIMBY view comes more easily to people in high-rent areas like ours, and the LACK of such facilities in our area has made the problems worse.	3/7/2019 12:08 AM
54	Drugs. I don't want more needles on the streets near my house. I don't want to smell more weed. I don't want to encounter more junkies.	3/6/2019 11:48 PM
55	That even though there might be around 200 beds, the "guests" selected won't be the ones sleeping, urinating, defecating, littering, breaking in, stealing from our neighborhood, it would just add 200 more loitering around in the day.	3/6/2019 10:52 PM
56	Trash, litter, needles on the Embarcadero where people walk. Will it look like Civic Center Area? Will it harm businesses like WaterBar	3/6/2019 10:48 PM
57	Architecture that fits into the area. Well maintained surroundings. Neighbors being nice and welcoming to those seeking housing support.	3/6/2019 10:13 PM
58	more homeless roaming the streets of our neighborhood; increase in trash	3/6/2019 9:21 PM
59	Against	3/6/2019 9:10 PM
60	I am concerned about cleanliness and public safety. There seems to be a public service office near 3rd and harrison on way to whole foods and there are folks sitting outside mentally unstable, with human waste and being aggressive- eessentially making the pavement unusable. I am concerned about more car break ins and SFPD being unable to do anything about it.	3/6/2019 8:26 PM
61	I am concerned about the accessibility of the center to vulnerable populations. I live and work in this neighborhood and do not notice as much of an issue with homelessness in this neighborhood as many other parts of the city. I am also concerned about the lack of supporting resources in the neighborhood. There is not an affordable grocery store, affordable restaurants, or many other things essential for resolve during a time of need.	3/6/2019 8:09 PM
62	We already have insufficient police and cleaning to handle even the existing homeless folks in our neighborhood. This is also a terrible place for a shelter— extremely high value real estate (even though it's currently being wasted) and very high visibility to tourists and local visitors. It will be hard to prevent a major increase in panhandling in this very high traffic neighborhood	3/6/2019 7:29 PM
63	First and foremost, this land should be fast track entitled (6 months tops) for a high rise affordable housing facility. It needs to house teachers, nurses, police officers and fire fighters. I want fire fighters living in SF! If we have an earthquake we are royally screwed! No one will actually live here in an emergency!	3/6/2019 7:24 PM
64	Crime and disease	3/6/2019 7:08 PM
65	That the area becomes like 6th street with drugs and vagrancy and unsafe for walking.	3/6/2019 6:54 PM
66	This is not a neighborhood designed to absorb 200 people with zero stake in its success or future. I have no faith that the City can operate it properly and believe it will have a dramatic impact on property values.	3/6/2019 6:43 PM
67	Undoubtedly more homeless flocking to the area.	3/6/2019 6:41 PM
68	Keeping the area safe and clean.	3/6/2019 6:37 PM
69	I generally support it, but want to make sure it doesn't prevent a larger permanent housing development from being built there sooner.	3/6/2019 6:23 PM
70	safety concerns, traffic, and cleanliness.	3/6/2019 6:15 PM
71	Safety for families around the area. Cleanliness around the area.	3/6/2019 5:54 PM
72	Impact on tourism, public health (more people shooting up on the streets, defacating in public, etc), safety. This is the stupidest idea i have ever seen and London Breed should be removed from office. I will work hard to make sure an opponent replaces her from office. SF relies heavily on tourist dollars and we face a very real image problem in that regard. This will make things SO much worse.	3/6/2019 5:27 PM
73	Proper stewardship of the direct surroundings of the navigation center. This facility will need the support of several City departments: Public Works, SFPD, etc.	3/6/2019 5:22 PM
74	Who is going to "watch" all of these people - most who have drug and mental health issues?	3/6/2019 5:22 PM

75	Wanders, monitoring, people sleeping outside once filled, increase in garbage, human/animal waste. Mental illness uptake in the neighborhood with lack of police response or there being able to do anything about it. We don't need this area becoming the tenderloin.	3/6/2019 5:12 PM
76	Public safety, cleanliness.	3/6/2019 5:04 PM
77	Safety issues.	3/6/2019 5:02 PM
78	Safety & cleanliness!!	3/6/2019 4:50 PM
79	1.)Homelessness has been a problem for decades in SF and won't be solved in four years. This promises to be a permanent installation. 2.) The number of guests the shelter serves far surpasses the number of homeless individuals in the surrounding area, which means it will collect guests from other parts of the city and relocate them to the shelter. 3.) While other navigation centers appear to have a good success rate, still, about 20% of the guests are asked to leave or leave voluntarily. Will these individuals then reside on the streets in the surrounding neighborhoods thus swelling the homeless population? 4.) homeless individuals in the area reportedly will be given priority for admission to the navigation center. Does this mean that homeless individuals will relocate to our neighborhood from SF and from surrounding cities and counties, knowing that they will be given priority for admission? What would stop this from happening? 5.) This site was chosen because it was "shovel ready." What does this mean that mobile units, trailers etc will be moved into the site in order to readily house the guests? Will this be a large trailer park located in our neighborhood? Won't this be more unsightly than the Embarcadero Freeway once was? 6.) In the 15 years that I have lived in this area, the city has provided almost no services or amenities for this area. There is virtually no police presence, inadequate street lighting, no city parks, no traffic control, no sidewalk cleaning aside from the CBD which the residents pay extra for. In addition the Port Authority provides very little in the way of services to the Embarcadero south of Market Street. Why should we believe that the city or Port Authority will provide any additional services to the area once the shelter is here. 7.) with 200-225 residents what will be done to abate the expected increase in crime, drug dealing, inappropriate behavior in the neighborhood. There is no police presence now and little interest shown by the police in curtailing property crimes. Will policing responsibilities as usual fall on the residents of the area and on the CBD? 8.) why is there not a "fair share" of responsibility for dealing with the homeless crisis from other districts of the city. I counted three parking lots on the north side of the Embarcadero in District 3, and all three could be used for navigation centers. Why are centers not being mandated there? Why do certain districts always have to carry the burden for the rest of the city while other districts (Pacific Heights, North Beach, Russian Hill, Sunset and Richmond, etc.) do nothing. This would be much more palatable if every other district in the city were also hosting a similar center simultaneously.	3/6/2019 4:49 PM
80	I think it is not the best use of port land and will detract from the waterfront. We need to attract more people to the waterfront to enjoy the area. My fear is people will not want to go down that direction. It may also decrease Giants fans.	3/6/2019 4:25 PM
81	More garbage, more poop, more pee, no upkeep of the area or building, attraction of drug addicts, their friends, mentally ill, street thugs. Scaring tourist, mugging tourists and people going to Giants games, loss of yet more parking, eye soar.	3/6/2019 4:07 PM
82	Size of the shelter, why it's not in a commercial location vs. residential location, impact on local homeless population on the streets/increase in homeless, health/safety/sanitation concerns, site becoming permanent, loss of lot being used to address the need for housing and solution to the piers 30/32, which are crumbling and cannot be shored up or developed without the inclusion of lot 330 in any deal.	3/6/2019 3:58 PM
83	Safety, sanitation, vagrancy, disease, needles, vandalism, violence.	3/6/2019 3:57 PM
84	A shelter of this size would take some policing to keep from degrading into something like 6th street. It will surely be a different magnitude than a few tents you see today.	3/6/2019 3:56 PM
85	Whether it would increase the concentration and flow of homeless people in the area	3/6/2019 3:55 PM
86	I live 1 block away and have concerns the city will not manage the intake/outtake of visitors and those camping or coming and going will increase. I haven't noticed a sizable homeless population on the Embarcadero so this surprised me as a location. I also don't like that it would be on the forefront of the Embarcadero as a prominent feature, definitely affecting my property values.	3/6/2019 3:54 PM
87	Increased traffic, potential increased crime	3/6/2019 3:50 PM
88	Public safety and whether or not this is the best place for such a facility. The loss or revenue to the City is a concern as well.	3/5/2019 11:18 PM

### Q3 Do you trust that the City will staff police, cleaning crews, mental health professionals, and paramedics in the nearby area adequately to handle those guests with drug and/or alcohol addiction problems, criminal histories, and mental health challenges?

Answered: 89 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	10.11% 9
Maybe, but that hasn't been our neighborhood's past experience	14.61% 13
No	57.30% 51
I don't know and have no opinion.	2.25% 2
Other (please specify)	15.73% 14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>89</b>

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Maybe. I will wait and see.	3/10/2019 8:54 PM
2	Fuck no! Pls tell me when the city has lived up to its commitment on ANYTHING.	3/10/2019 4:16 PM
3	The City can't manage its way out of a wet paper bag. What makes you think The City can provide the right level of services to ensure that these filthy homeless do not endanger our safety or that of our children? The City cannot keep up with graffiti. The City cannot keep the streets paved. The City cannot pick up the human feces that are all over the streets. The City cannot pick up the needles that abound. Why do you think The City would be any better at administering a potentially dangerous homeless shelter in a residential neighborhood?	3/10/2019 4:03 PM
4	I don't trust The City to do _anything_ right. They can't keep the streets paved. Why do you think The City can properly administer a homeless shelter in a sensitive neighborhood?	3/10/2019 3:55 PM
5	Your dog whistle is horrible. Honestly. WTF?	3/10/2019 2:12 PM



6	No is not a strong enough word. I have zero confidence that this will be implemented well and without significant consequences to a highly residential neighborhood . I fundamentally do not trust the judgement or capabilities of our city leadership.	3/10/2019 11:01 AM
7	Absolutely not.	3/10/2019 10:54 AM
8	I have some concern over the size of the facility and if the City will adequately staff it	3/9/2019 6:45 AM
9	A MOU needs to be developed between the community and the sponsors that will guarantee the outcomes desired including the relocation of homeless residents to permanently affordable housing.	3/9/2019 12:47 AM
10	No. From past experiences, it requires persistent complaints from the neighborhood to trigger additional resources. Otherwise, staff and conditions would simply deteriorate.	3/8/2019 8:21 PM
11	Again, could be occasional problems but not likely.	3/8/2019 1:33 AM
12	If the city has a hard time keeping the streets downtown and in the Tenderloin clean, I find it hard to believe that they would be able to consistently take care of all of the details involved in such a large social services project.	3/7/2019 11:21 AM
13	I don't trust them but I definitely want to trust them. That should be the deal: ok for a NV but please provide exceptional stewardship in and around it.	3/6/2019 5:22 PM
14	I see rampant IV drug use all over the City. So I do not have confidence that the City will succeed at this sight.	3/5/2019 11:18 PM



## Q4 What can the City do to help ensure the success of the Navigation Center and the 175-225 guests so that we can be proud to continue the tradition of accepting people in our South of Market District neighborhood unwanted and shunned by the rest of San Francisco?

Answered: 84 Skipped: 5

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Provide adequate staff and enforcement. Take lessons from Delancey Street Foundation. Realize that the success of this depends on cleanliness and safety in the surrounding blocks.	3/10/2019 8:54 PM
2	Move it somewhere else.	3/10/2019 5:57 PM
3	There are many open lots in areas that are less dense and less used by tourists in the city - both in SOMA and outside of SOMA. This would help make the security and cleanliness of the area easier to control and monitor.	3/10/2019 5:38 PM
4	This question is biased. Not all of us are proud to have our neighborhoods filled with mentally ill people and trash. Comparing the proposed shelter to the Delancey Foundation is absurd. Will the city provide on site job training, a beautiful restaurant for them to work in, require that they stay bathed and groomed?	3/10/2019 4:50 PM
5	Put the homeless shelter in the Mission .	3/10/2019 4:16 PM
6	Move the navigation center elsewhere. Like city has not proved that they can do anything to make this successful in any other neighborhood, so why would it work here?	3/10/2019 4:12 PM
7	The best way to ensure a new homeless shelter is successful is to build it in the Mission.	3/10/2019 4:03 PM
8	Already have navigation centers in our district. Other districts should share burden.	3/10/2019 3:57 PM
9	The best thing The City can do to ensure the success of a new homeless center is to BUILD IT WHERE THE HOMELESS ARE: The Mission, mid-Market, under 101. NOT IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD WITH KIDS AROUND,.	3/10/2019 3:55 PM
10	Evaluation and feedback loop, willingness to adapt and modify based on data driven findings.	3/10/2019 3:48 PM
11	Do not build it on seawall lot 330. Build it where homeless are, not in a residential neighborhood. Then it will be successful.	3/10/2019 3:48 PM
12	NOT BUILD THE D*MN CENTER> Build it where homeless people are: Mission, mid-Market, under 101. NOT IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD NEAR A PUBLIC PARK.	3/10/2019 3:43 PM
13	find an area that doesn't have families and kids living there.	3/10/2019 3:15 PM
14	This is good. Keep this up.	3/10/2019 2:12 PM
15	The city needs to build this as quickly as possible, and build more across the city as well. That is the best thing we can do to help the homeless.	3/10/2019 1:41 PM
16	Provide wraparound services and avoid discharging folks back to the streets, a negative outcome. Also, work with the neighboring CBD and Downtown Streets Team. Oh yeah -- and create viable permanent supportive housing and a robust Homeward Bound program.	3/10/2019 11:53 AM
17	First, don't railroad this into the community. Second, why isn't there a city level plan for these centers to created. They need to be in every part of the city - OR NOT IN THE CITY AT ALL. Why place treatment centers in the most expensive place in the nation? If you're going to have centers, place them in every district.	3/10/2019 11:01 AM
18	Pro concept but why would city put this in the most visibly optical area on Embarcadero? Plenty of other areas in Soma.	3/10/2019 10:54 AM
19	The best thing for the City to do is build the center elsewhere. None of the city's promises about mitigating impacts of the center will be binding, so the promises are meaningless.	3/10/2019 10:17 AM

20	If this navigation center is opened, a permanent, around the clock police presence should be stationed on the Embarcadero and an additional officer should be on constant patrol on foot, bicycle or squad car of the immediate surrounding streets between Embarcadero, Harrison, Second going up and down every single street in that area(Bryant, Brannan, Townsend, Delancy, Colin P Kelley Jr, Federal, Beale, Spear and Main).	3/10/2019 9:45 AM
21	I'd like to see detailed plans for outside the shelter, release plans for residents	3/10/2019 9:38 AM
22	Constant cleaning and patrolling of our neighborhood.	3/10/2019 9:23 AM
23	Nothing comes to mind right now. I don't have alot of trust that the city will staff it appropriately. I feel bad for the residents at the condos right next door as it going to lower their property values.	3/10/2019 8:43 AM
24	Show a true path that gets all the encampments into the center, and the recipients into jobs and homes	3/10/2019 8:13 AM
25	They should not do anything before thinking through the consequences. They should develop a longer term strategy / blueprint before embarking of these temporary 'solutions'. Learn from other world class cities like Singapore, Sydney. It sounds like the major made some promises and she is just making random plans to show that she is following up.	3/10/2019 12:46 AM
26	Find a better venue which is not right next to high traffic residential area	3/10/2019 12:38 AM
27	If this goes through, the residents will part of this neighborhood which means keeping the area clean. Delaney Street Foundation nearby is a well loved and respected part of the community.	3/9/2019 6:31 PM
28	Close it after 9p.m.	3/9/2019 5:16 PM
29	You dont see many homeless NORT H of Market except in the immediate Tenderloin.	3/9/2019 4:04 PM
30	Move it out of my neighborhood. We already have one of these at 5th and Bryant.	3/9/2019 6:45 AM
31	The above is very loaded. Many communities other than the ones mentioned have lots of homeless people. In terms of success, resources need to be provided and agreements enforced.	3/9/2019 12:47 AM
32	City should fund or buy permamnent facility, bldg in other zipcodes instead of all in South of Market. Have similar facility like Delancy Street Foundation in an area where homeless is greatest, like atenderlon or 6th and Market area. Better yet, place navigation center in front of City Hall then Mayor Breed can check on them every day!	3/9/2019 12:07 AM
33	There are COUNTLESS less residential spaces along the waterfront that would not conflict with daily life of a tax paying population. Look at all the empty piers, warehouse buildings etc.	3/8/2019 10:03 PM
34	Not continue being the City's dumping ground	3/8/2019 9:43 PM
35	Spread out the navigation center to other neighborhoods. Try to put them in the mariners! That neighborhood would ensure that the city provides sufficient staff to manage it properly.	3/8/2019 8:21 PM
36	Include 2/47 bathrooms, showers, accept dogs and other pets, have female-only parts of the shelter and food pantry for neighbors and a supervised injection site	3/8/2019 7:15 PM
37	Build it.	3/8/2019 7:10 PM
38	Not sure but I want it to happen	3/8/2019 6:22 PM
39	Add a navigation center in District 3 too.	3/8/2019 6:10 PM
40	We already have a brand new Navigation Center. It's time for other districts to do their fair share.	3/8/2019 6:01 PM
41	More security	3/8/2019 5:45 PM
42	Enforcement of sit/lie law.	3/8/2019 10:30 AM
43	Am retired clinical social worker. 35 yrs. of mental health experience. Was @ South of Market Mental Health Clinic for 10 yrs, Reality: Mentally ill no higher rates of violence than the general population. ETOH/Drug guests may need more supervision but again trust their will be adequate supervision. Violence vs. the public is rare & random is my hunch.	3/8/2019 1:33 AM


44	We rarely see SFPD patrol cars in Rincon Hill - and when we do, it is during bankers hours and turning their heads when drivers speed, run red lights, and otherwise endanger pedestrians. We need police to be present and visible to help deter predators who may want to prey upon the guests at the shelter. We need to see mental health beds made available for the mentally ill - a shelter is not the solution for mental illness, in my opinion. We need the City to stop exploiting and geographically discriminating against SoMa residents when it is our neighborhood that is already treated like second class citizens, told we cannot have new parks unless we form a Community Benefit District to maintain them - meanwhile, we have condo buildings worth a billion dollars each (Lumina and Infinity), paying in \$11-\$13 million per building (in total) and still we get treated like second class with no school, no library services, no local bus line (the 12 Folsom bus was rerouted around Rincon Hill on December 5, 2009 - the 1,000 affordable units being built will have residents who could use a bus to more affordable groceries like Foods Co. on Folsom at Division), etc. We need to see that Sunset, Richmond, Marina, and other neighborhoods also do their part and open navigation centers too. As long as I've lived here, the City has pushed Community Benefit Districts on us - and now they want to push the mess of Civic Center and 6th Street on us too.	3/7/2019 7:54 PM
45	Not build it. If they do, have more physical presence of police. I would prefer that the city focus on building permanent facilities to assist those with mental illness.	3/7/2019 5:52 PM
46	DEMAND and hold accountable these organizations tasked with keeping all under control. Close the facility if there is any problem at all and charge the offending organization for costs.	3/7/2019 5:51 PM
47	Focus on homeless in the neighborhood first.	3/7/2019 5:30 PM
48	I wish I had an answer for this. I think my first response would be to have a smaller facility, perhaps housing 40 people give or take and having several other similar sized facilities in other parts of the city.	3/7/2019 11:21 AM
49	Clean up the streets, disallowing homeless to be in the neighborhood except in the shelter. Increase aid to the mentally ill. Increase foot patrol officers.	3/7/2019 1:11 AM
50	The City should provide regular reports on the Center's success rate, as measured in a number of ways. No one is expecting miracles, but the Center will certainly be better than tents.	3/7/2019 12:08 AM
51	set strict requirements that must be met, such as that those selected must start with those already within a certain stretch, i.e. from the center to Market St, or that neighbors would have direct contacts within the center to take immediate action to resolve any issues or complaints with a set timeline	3/6/2019 10:52 PM
52	Have appropriate standards and staffing along with good management	3/6/2019 10:48 PM
53	Staff it fully and properly. Like the Division St Nav Center, provide laundry facilities and ample bathrooms and showers so that public health and hygiene is improved around our area. EDUCATE people so they can be engaged and constructive instead of adopting the NIMBY attitude.	3/6/2019 10:13 PM
54	provide details of what plans will be in place to address the various homeless-related issues	3/6/2019 9:21 PM
55	Not build the Nav center	3/6/2019 9:10 PM
56	They can start by 1) properly policing existing criminal activities including car break in, the stolen bike trade at Rincon Dog Park etc 2) Cleaning up human waste that is all over SOMA, especially the staircases near bridgeview and Beale, human waste frequently found at Sue B Children's play ground inside the kids play structure, along Harrison towards 4th street 3) Communicating with the SOMa residents more directly and show us what they have done for existing issues so our knee jerk reaction isn't to blame the homeless for everything and expect the city to shrug responsibilities. I have been attacked by mentally unstable homeless individuals while pushing a new born baby, had them throw things at the pram more than once that I am out of safe walking zones. I am petrified of walking near Bryant and Embarcadero because of the current encampment so the city needs to earn trust before asking the neighborhood to host this center.	3/6/2019 8:26 PM
57	The city could place the navigation center in an area more accessible for vulnerable populations and in an area with additional resources to assist vulnerable populations.	3/6/2019 8:09 PM
58	This is the wrong location. And frankly, the City needs to double or triple their budget for policing and maintenance of the neighborhood. As it is, residents are having to fund their own policing and cleanup through the CBD, and this will increase the burden.	3/6/2019 7:29 PM

59	Proud to accept? I'm appalled you are proud of half of the homeless population living in our district housed or not. Spread the load SF! Send these people to mental institutions, home, or use money to rent them an apartment. We need to build a mental hospital. Thanks to Reagan there are none! Fast forward 40 years and 25% of homeless people live in CA! Building a navigation center on the waterfront doesn't assist someone with bipolar disorder or schizophrenia. Hospitals. Mandatory 1 month holds.	3/6/2019 7:24 PM
60	Not build it	3/6/2019 7:08 PM
61	First clean up parts of the city in SOMA which are degenerating.	3/6/2019 6:54 PM
62	Put it somewhere else.	3/6/2019 6:43 PM
63	Put it somewhere else.	3/6/2019 6:41 PM
64	I don't want empty promises. We need accountability. There should be a neighborhood working group that meets with the navigation center officials on a regular basis to work out any issues as they arise.	3/6/2019 6:37 PM
65	Commit to putting a Navigation Center in every district within the next two years.	3/6/2019 6:23 PM
66	having enough law enforcement officers, regular cleaning	3/6/2019 6:15 PM
67	Make sure the area is staffed appropriately with staffing to keep the area clean and safe.	3/6/2019 5:54 PM
68	Keep people from sleepign on the streets, defacating on the streets, shooting up on the streets, emptying dumpsters out onto the streets, etc.	3/6/2019 5:27 PM
69	- Doing thorough outreach with surrounding properties, businesses, and neighbors - Offer walking tours of existing navigation centers - Address ALL concerns raised by the community on Next-door, SF Chronicle, surveys, and public meetings. Provide clear explanations of what happens if... - Name staff in charge in each department and keep departments accountable for results of the NV but also cleaning and safety of area. - Put the director of the NV on the Board of neighborhood associations so neighbors have an easy way to funnel feedback to the NV, without going through Mayor / Supervisors. - Explore partnership with The East Cut CBD (outside the district but someone need to keep folks at the NV engaged with community) - Be transparent, share data with the public - Tolerance 0 for crime and shenanigans around the NV	3/6/2019 5:22 PM
70	There is no trust whatsoever that anyone, even in a management position, will be able to ensure 'guests' will be taken of.	3/6/2019 5:22 PM
71	If they can't do it in the Tenderloin why should we believe they will do this in this area. Prove you can reasonably solve for the issues where you have them before creating new ones in nicer areas of town.	3/6/2019 5:12 PM
72	Cancel it	3/6/2019 5:04 PM
73	Provide more police in the area to insure that it won't cause danger to those living in the area.	3/6/2019 5:02 PM
74	Wrong location!!	3/6/2019 4:50 PM
75	if the city were serious about making this a success rather than foisting in on my neighborhood with no community input or community outreach, there would have been more planning and discussion ahead of any announcement. There are ways this could have been approached successfully by first establishing how this center could actually be beneficial for the neighborhood, by proposing neighborhood enhancements, e.g. a policy of no tents or sidewalk emcampments within an eight block area of the center, concrete plans for increased enhancement of the neighborhood, with better lighting, improved sidewalks, landscaping, more park space. Guaranteeing increased security in the neighborhood with explanations how this will be accomplished, either by more patrolling by police, stricter enforcement of quality of life issues, etc. If this could be sold to the residents as something that actually could enhance the neighborhood, then it could be successful. Just pushing this on the neighborhood, putting in a bunch of unsightly trailers with no accommodations for the security and welfare of the neighborhood, and allowing the neighborhood go to shit, however, will make this neighborhood the laughingstock of the city and no other neighborhood will ever want a Navigation Center.	3/6/2019 4:49 PM
76	I feel we have taken our fair share into our neighborhood and would like there to be more support for a Navigation Center in other parts of the city. We do not have enough resources today to handle what happens on our streets and neighborhoods; hence, the CBD formation.	3/6/2019 4:25 PM
77	Put other people in charge instead of them. I gave zero confidence in any elected official or the police force for that matter.	3/6/2019 4:07 PM

78	Locate a more suitable site. There are two smaller sites that could be used seawall lot 351 and Seawall lot 314 that would be the more optimal size for 1 or 2 more navigation centers along the waterfront. A mega center has never been attempted and is against the concept of the current nav centers.	3/6/2019 3:58 PM
79	Find a new location. Shelters in the middle of high density housing, highly trafficked pedestrian areas for residents and tourists is an absolute terrible proposal. This neighborhood is not responsible or obligated to provide this service or shelter. It should be placed where the impact to the surrounding area is minimized - not magnified.	3/6/2019 3:57 PM
80	It will attract more homeless people of those who are friends of the ones from 175-225, creating full of needles, and feces as we have seen in 5th and 6th St situations	3/6/2019 3:56 PM
81	Provide examples of how this is working in other locations	3/6/2019 3:55 PM
82	24 hour security that patrols and ENFORCES campers/loitering and drug use. I feel safe in my neighborhood now and am really concerned that we would have to move after 9 years, it makes me really sad.	3/6/2019 3:54 PM
83	Have a clear plan for getting people back on their feet, high amounts of transparency in terms of results and where money is being spent	3/6/2019 3:50 PM
84	An additional concern that I have is that the air quality at that site is the worst in the area. We have not nearly enough places for children and there may be challenges at eh newly opened Brannan street park	3/5/2019 11:18 PM

### Q5 What is your preferred way to learn and to provide input about this and other matters that affect our quality of life in SoMa?

Answered: 86 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person meeting on a weekday evening	25.58%	22
In-person meeting on a weekend afternoon	20.93%	18
Webinar/online interactive format with questions typed in on a weekday evening	25.58%	22
Webinar/online interactive format with questions typed in on a weekend afternoon	10.47%	9
Webinar/online interactive format with queued live voice questions on a weekday evening	11.63%	10
Webinar/online interactive format with queued live voice questions on a weekend afternoon	5.81%	5
Emails and online surveys to gather input	67.44%	58
Online surveys only	12.79%	11
Email only	11.63%	10
Other (please specify)	12.79%	11


Total Respondents: 86

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	You have my input: DO NOT BUILD THE SHELTER IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD NEAR A TOURIST AREA. What a stupid idea!!	3/10/2019 3:43 PM
2	No preference.	3/10/2019 1:41 PM
3	Multiple formats	3/10/2019 10:54 AM
4	Newsletters such as the Police Captain's monthly report, Potrero View, etc. that carry articles about neighborhood issues.	3/9/2019 12:47 AM
5	Neighborhood Association meetings and emails.	3/8/2019 10:03 PM
6	I've stated I want this to happen	3/8/2019 6:22 PM
7	Hard mail informational letters mailed to residents who live in the neighborhood.	3/7/2019 11:21 AM
8	Needs to be a mix of things. Hopefully, ppl will remain civil. These are fellow humans we are talking about.	3/6/2019 10:13 PM
9	Emails and evening meetings.	3/6/2019 7:24 PM
10	Thank you for putting this together Jamie, the CBD can help share the survey around. And nice meeting you at OCII's last Transbay CAC meeting.	3/6/2019 5:22 PM
11	At public meetings where comments from the community may be received.	3/5/2019 11:18 PM



### Q6 Do you plan to attend the Tuesday, March 12th meeting at 6pm-7:30pm at Delancey Street Foundation, enter at gate at 600 Embarcadero, San Francisco, CA94107 hosted by the City government?

Answered: 87 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	47.13%	41
No	52.87%	46
TOTAL		87

## Q9 Any other comments that you would like relayed to Mayor London Breed about her proposal or our District 6 Supervisor Matt Haney?

Answered: 63 Skipped: 26

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Housing the homeless is important for everyone. Let's get this right. It comes down to the experience of being in the neighborhood- scary or not scary?	3/10/2019 8:54 PM
2	I think that residents of SOMA could be very open to housing a navigation center. This plan feels rushed and desperate - without any real study of how this will affect the neighborhood - and perhaps more importantly for the city - tourism. I live two blocks away. It is actually not the proximity that worries me - it is the location that they chose and the lack of real research. Instead of just randomly deciding on sites piecemeal - Mayor Breed would be best to survey the entire city and approach this with a plan of scale. And while there is a homeless crisis in our city - shoddy planning and setting up of centers could make it worse.	3/10/2019 5:38 PM
3	Breed and Haney: Go forward with this and you will lose your cushy jobs. I will ACTIVELY campaign against you in any upcoming election. My friends, my acquaintances, any one I know will be made aware of your foolish ideas and you will lose your cushy jobs. THIS WILL END YOUR POLITICAL CAREER. I HAVE MONEY. I CAN MAKE IT HAPPEN. Don't be stupid!!	3/10/2019 4:16 PM
4	I do not support this initiative. Not enough input was gathered from the neighborhood before announcing it. I would actively campaign against the reelection of Matt Haney as our Supervisor if he supports this initiative. His plan is to turn one of the most iconic and popular areas of the city into the Tenderloin. Bad idea.	3/10/2019 4:12 PM
5	Honorable Mayor and Supervisor: What can you possibly be thinking? A survey of 250 residents across San Francisco indicated that a vast majority do not support the Mayor's ambitious plan, with over 90% saying "no" when asked if they support the proposal as currently planned. The City should not build a homeless shelter on seawall lot 330. It is near a tourist area, near residential areas, near public parks. It poses a hazard to safety of nearby residents, including children.	3/10/2019 4:03 PM
6	This is a terrible idea.	3/10/2019 3:57 PM
7	London and Matt, respectfully, ARE YOU CRAZY? The people who vote, the very people who pay your salaries DO NOT WANT A HOMELESS SHELTER in their nice residential neighborhood. You can put a homeless shelter on seawall lot 330 right after you put one next door to your own house.	3/10/2019 3:55 PM
8	London and Matt: I am sure you care about the homeless. Good for. If you care about the real residents of The City, the ones who vote you into office and pay the taxes that pay your cushy salaries, you will not proceed with this asinine plan.	3/10/2019 3:48 PM
9	Do not proceed with this ill-informed plan. You will kill tourism on the waterfront. You will endanger the children that live nearby. You will risk collapse of nearby businesses. Most importantly to you, you will certainly risk your precious political lives as you will not be re-elected and have to get a real job.	3/10/2019 3:43 PM
10	Please relay my thanks to both Mayor Breed and Supervisor Haney for leading and not cow-tow'ng to groups who don't want this project	3/10/2019 2:12 PM
11	You have a near unlimited budget for this issue, and community of some of the smartest people in the world - a tremendous platform to do the one thing you were elected to do - Lead. I deeply, passionately oppose the concept of this homeless shelter. How city leadership is driving this is very disappointing. With the amount of resources available to see no clear strategy or communications is unacceptable .	3/10/2019 11:01 AM
12	Adding a temp structure to The Embarcadero will hurt the economy. SF already has a poor reputation. We support providing constructive resources to homeless and majority of our tax dollars do so already but please do not also kill the beauty of the waterfront in the process.	3/10/2019 10:54 AM

13	The center was proposed without any neighborhood outreach. Area residents overwhelmingly oppose building the proposed center in South Beach. I strongly urge Mayor Breed and Supervisor Haney to propose a different location, otherwise a lengthy and expensive legal battle is likely, and the probable result is that the center will either never be built at that location, or it will be delayed by many years.	3/10/2019 10:17 AM
14	Thanks for working on this issue. I know it isn't easy and no matter what you do some people will be unhappy. These people on the street need our help.	3/10/2019 9:38 AM
15	Their has to be a better location where we can house the homeless that does not affect this beautiful area of the city and the perception of the city by our guest.	3/10/2019 8:43 AM
16	There should be multiple locations suggested, with pros and cons discussed.	3/10/2019 8:13 AM
17	Do something that will provide a permanent solution to the problem and will also improve the image / value of the city. Looks like we are making naive, uneducated decision. If needed replace the leadership with people who have the experience and willing to make impactful change. Invite other successful cities to guide us.	3/10/2019 12:46 AM
18	I am very disappointed by how the decision to locate navigation center was made in haste without proper input. The crime rate in San Francisco, including Soma/South Beach has been increasing over the years. We were hoping that Mayor London Breed and Supervisor Matt Haney will actually help make South Beach better, cleaner and safer. Instead now we are worried about our health and safety because of the new navigation center. I have loved living in San Francisco for over a decade. But if our district supervisor does not care about the safety of its residents, then I am definitely ready to move to Marin or somewhere else in the Bay area. When there is a navigation center right there on the waterfront, what impression will it give to tourists and visitors? The navigation center will be a symbol of San Francisco's failure in solving homelessness. Seattle's waterfront attraction is space Needle, Chicago's is museums and planetarium, and San Francisco's will be a homeless center. On a given day, I may have seen at most 4-5 homeless people in the South Beach area. And with just that, there have been incidents of violence, needles strewn across the street, etc. This will just get lot worse when you have homeless folks lining outside the navigation center ( you say the center will take in people by invitation, but do homeless folks know that? What will prevent them from lining up?	3/10/2019 12:38 AM
19	I do not oppose the center. I think every neighborhood should have a navigation center, 100 people per center.	3/9/2019 6:31 PM
20	Do it right. Shorten the time to 3 years. Anyone camping or sleeping in the neighborhood who refuses assistance should be jailed.	3/9/2019 5:16 PM
21	NIMBY	3/9/2019 4:04 PM
22	This is a terrible idea	3/9/2019 6:45 AM
23	I am initially for the navigation center, looking forward to hearing plans at the meeting. Proud to have our neighborhood be able to help in the effort to reduce homelessness. Good luck!	3/9/2019 6:45 AM
24	Navigation Centers have been very successful in other neighborhoods. Why not here? Just provide the resources needed and a talented staff, and the neighborhood will help out. Are there opportunities for volunteers?	3/9/2019 12:47 AM
25	Providing waterfront real estate to attract homeless persons in a highly taxed residential neighborhood is short-sighted and politically incompetent.	3/8/2019 10:03 PM
26	I will contact each of them directly. My wife will attend the meeting on the 12th since I will be out of town.	3/8/2019 9:56 PM
27	How about another district for once?	3/8/2019 9:43 PM
28	District 6 already has overwhelmingly high ratio of extreme low incoming housing plus homeless encampments/shelters. Such support should be fairly distributed throughout all the city districts.	3/8/2019 8:21 PM
29	Great jobs, please implement ASAP!	3/8/2019 7:15 PM
30	Please support this shelter proposal	3/8/2019 6:22 PM
31	Good Job! Thank you for working on the homelessness issue. Please open a Nav center in D3 soon.	3/8/2019 6:10 PM
32	Enforcement of current laws.	3/8/2019 10:30 AM

33	Too bad you can't just do this without community input! LOL. Believe in tough love. Violent homeless people need consequences. They are the exception. Believe this program will bring the diversity our hood needs.	3/8/2019 1:33 AM
34	District 6 provides the majority of the windfall money in San Francisco by losing our sunlight to 400' tall buildings to compensate for west San Francisco's fear of anything higher than 40' or single family homes, dying sooner with bad air quality from all the traffic congestion on Bay Bridge and nearby, getting hit and killed by drivers who run red lights and make illegal turns, and lied to about the Rincon Hill Infrastructure Financing District formed in 2011 under the lie from the City that it would lead to new parks - where is our park now that the East Cut CBD has been formed?	3/7/2019 7:54 PM
35	Please address the needs of the mentally ill, which navigation centers do not. And if the city is really committed to help people, have all neighborhoods share the responsibility.	3/7/2019 5:52 PM
36	Speed up work on protected bike lanes on Howard, Folsom, Townsend, Embarcadero North East of 4th Street. All we get is shitty unprotected bike lanes blocked by traffic.	3/7/2019 5:30 PM
37	I'd be curious to hear if there are other proposed locations and where they may be located.	3/7/2019 11:21 AM
38	no.	3/7/2019 1:11 AM
39	Since homelessness is such a prominent issue, I expect them to propose and implement solutions that work.	3/7/2019 12:08 AM
40	I am all in favour of socialism and helping the poor, but don't think the city is doing it correctly. I have never understood why using tax money to encourage drugs use, is part of helping the poor. Am I the only one questioning this? I am all for a shelter for homeless people but please ban drugs. I clearly live in the wrong city.	3/6/2019 11:48 PM
41	I wish you the best of luck. Even though I agree that something needs to be done... this is going to be a tough fight to make happen here.	3/6/2019 10:52 PM
42	These are one step to solving our homelessness issue. It may not be perfect, and we will fumble here and there, but it's progress. I've met many Nav Ctr residents who are genuinely good people that just need help at this time.	3/6/2019 10:13 PM
43	What other neighborhoods are being targeted for Navigation Centers / services to address the needs of the homeless?	3/6/2019 9:21 PM
44	What other locations did they consider for the center, and why did they decide on SOMA?	3/6/2019 8:26 PM
45	I support your approach to homelessness generally, and I'm seeing some improvements, so bravo on that. But this seems like the wrong location. There are many, many empty storefronts or giant empty lobbies or condo sales centers that might be a better fit. How about the former Performance Bicycles on Brannan? That's a big space, and has been empty a long time.	3/6/2019 7:29 PM
46	Hey London and Matt, 2 cruise ships would house all homeless people now while you build low income housing and a mental hospital. People need to get off the street now. Showers. Toilets. Beds. We cannot wait. BUILD HOUSING!	3/6/2019 7:24 PM
47	No	3/6/2019 7:08 PM
48	Please find another more appropriate option for this navigation center like where there are more homeless.	3/6/2019 6:41 PM
49	Hopefully, Matt will stand by this project, even in the face of strong neighborhood opposition.	3/6/2019 6:37 PM
50	This site has been wasted storing parked cars for far too long.	3/6/2019 6:23 PM
51	I think it's great to provide housing to the homeless. I want to hear more about how the staffing will support the center. Also, I'd like to reiterate supervisor Haney's comments about other neighborhoods in SF supporting as well. As far as I know other neighborhoods have little to no support for homeless.	3/6/2019 5:54 PM
52	Impact on tourism, public health (more people shooting up on the streets, defacating in public, etc), safety. This is the stupidest idea i have ever seen and London Breed should be removed from office. I will work hard to make sure an opponent replaces her from office. SF relies heavily on tourist dollars and we face a very real image problem in that regard. This will make things SO much worse.	3/6/2019 5:27 PM
53	1. Accountability 2. Let's make this work and fix this horrible situation once and for all	3/6/2019 5:22 PM

54	Will the space include the "ok-to-shoot-up" rooms as well? Why put this facility in a space that will be ruined with trash and filth from people that do not care about themselves - and to that comment - most people that are on the streets choose to be. They are addicts and want to stay in their situation without rules, laws or boundaries.	3/6/2019 5:22 PM
55	Will other neighborhoods such as Pacific Heights and Hayes Valley also be housing navigation centers and if not, why?	3/6/2019 5:02 PM
56	Pick another more suitable location!	3/6/2019 4:50 PM
57	There was never a discussion and we hear about something this big in the news. Not OK. We need to hear from all sides and think about the long standing impact this may have.	3/6/2019 4:25 PM
58	I really don't appreciate the way she has ram rodded this through behind our backs. I do not trust her at all. What possible benefit could this district gain from using prime space as a halfway house. Navigation center good idea but ridiculous to use prime waterfront area to build this. These people do not need to be on the waterfront. I m sorry but they have not worked or earned the right to be on the waterfront even temporarily. I m all for helping them but let's be sensible. Curious if there is a monetary payoff associated with this from possibly developers who will not have to include low income housing in their high rise towers.	3/6/2019 4:07 PM
59	Consider other more appropriate locations and sizes in commercial space or CalTrans property, including under the freeways in SF vs. residential neighborhoods.	3/6/2019 3:58 PM
60	Find a new location. Shelters in the middle of high density housing, highly trafficked pedestrian areas for residents and tourists is an absolute terrible proposal. This neighborhood is not responsible or obligated to provide this service or shelter. It should be placed where the impact to the surrounding area is minimized - not magnified.	3/6/2019 3:57 PM
61	Please start focusing on how to improve the quality of the neighborhood and stop building affordable housing and homeless shelters around the most expensive neighborhood where people living in high rise condos can't even afford to shop at grocery shops and use services around here. This is not good for both residents and businesses.	3/6/2019 3:56 PM
62	I would like assurances that this temporary transition center would in fact be temporary and would have a hard time limit of max 4 years, which still seems incredibly long.	3/6/2019 3:54 PM
63	I want to be sure that we have city wide plans to address this issue and that we are not turning Seawall lot 330 into a dumping ground	3/5/2019 11:18 PM