

Q2 What are your initial concerns about a homeless shelter for 175-225 guests pre-selected by Public Health Department and other City government staff to be built and operational by the summer of 2019 and operate for at least 4 years?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Certainly a shelter for 175-225 persons would be a better use of the land than using it for parking, but can ambulances reach the location in a timely manner when traffic gridlock rules at many hours of the weekdays and weekends? Why jump from 84 guests at 5th and Bryant to 225 here? I worry that Vision Zero has been given zero attention by SFPD on The Embarcadero and surrounding streets, making it an extra dangerous area for people who get around primarily on foot. We need to see police patrols 24/7 to ticket speeders and dangerous drivers and also to shew away drug dealers likely attracted to such a high concentration (175-225) of people likely having a desire to escape reality with drugs. I am concerned heroin needles with multiply by the hundreds at the nearby Brannan Street Wharf and Rincon Hill Dog Park where there are few today. I fear that our long-term homeless in Rincon Hill, like a gentleman who has been sleeping on the 100 block of Main Street since at least 2006 when I moved here, will not utilize the Navigation Center because of more violent-oriented guests invited from other neighborhoods.	3/7/2019 6:54 PM
2	I believe that with the new navigation center at 5th and Bryant - that district 6 has met its obligations	3/7/2019 4:52 PM
3	Questionable people for neighbors, litter, dogs, loitering.	3/7/2019 4:51 PM
4	No concerns. I want it go up quickly, so we can start making a difference to all those already living in tents on our streets.	3/7/2019 4:30 PM
5	Parking, cleanliness, noise, safety. From a standpoint of tourism, I think this is the wrong location for the city of San Francisco. Many people from across the globe spend their hard earned money to visit our city and often end up on the Embarcadero. I think that a social services facility like this would be better located elsewhere in the city. Wouldn't the Presidio be an ideal location to build a long term facility like this?	3/7/2019 10:21 AM
6	There are statistics that show there is more crime in neighborhoods where homeless shelters reside. This neighborhood is a growing one with a lot of new, young families, including myself. I fear the presence of a shelter will make the moderately safe neighborhood much less safe for parents & young children to be outside.	3/7/2019 12:11 AM
7	It's long overdue. I'm concerned that the NIMBY view comes more easily to people in high-rent areas like ours, and the LACK of such facilities in our area has made the problems worse.	3/6/2019 11:08 PM
8	Drugs. I don't want more needles on the streets near my house. I don't want to smell more weed. I don't want to encounter more junkies.	3/6/2019 10:48 PM
9	That even though there might be around 200 beds, the "guests" selected won't be the ones sleeping, urinating, defecating, littering, breaking in, stealing from our neighborhood, it would just add 200 more loitering around in the day.	3/6/2019 9:52 PM
10	Trash, litter, needles on the Embarcadero where people walk. Will it look like Civic Center Area? Will it harm businesses like WaterBar	3/6/2019 9:48 PM
11	Architecture that fits into the area. Well maintained surroundings. Neighbors being nice and welcoming to those seeking housing support.	3/6/2019 9:13 PM
12	more homeless roaming the streets of our neighborhood; increase in trash	3/6/2019 8:21 PM
13	Against	3/6/2019 8:10 PM

14	I am concerned about cleanliness and public safety. There seems to be a public service office near 3rd and harrison on way to whole foods and there are folks sitting outside mentally unstable, with human waste and being aggressive- eessentially making the pavement unusable. I am concerned about more car break ins and SFPD being unable to do anything about it.	3/6/2019 7:26 PM
15	I am concerned about the accessibility of the center to vulnerable populations. I live and work in this neighborhood and do not notice as much of an issue with homelessness in this neighborhood as many other parts of the city. I am also concerned about the lack of supporting resources in the neighborhood. There is not an affordable grocery store, affordable restaurants, or many other things essential for resolve during a time of need.	3/6/2019 7:09 PM
16	We already have insufficient police and cleaning to handle even the existing homeless folks in our neighborhood. This is also a terrible place for a shelter— extremely high value real estate (even though it's currently being wasted) and very high visibility to tourists and local visitors. It will be hard to prevent a major increase in panhandling in this very high traffic neighborhood	3/6/2019 6:29 PM
17	First and foremost, this land should be fast track entitled (6 months tops) for a high rise affordable housing facility. It needs to house teachers, nurses, police officers and fire fighters. I want fire fighters living in SF! If we have an earthquake we are royally screwed! No one will actually live here in an emergency!	3/6/2019 6:24 PM
18	Crime and disease	3/6/2019 6:08 PM
19	That the area becomes like 6th street with drugs and vagrancy and unsafe for walking.	3/6/2019 5:54 PM
20	This is not a neighborhood designed to absorb 200 people with zero stake in its success or future. I have no faith that the City can operate it properly and believe it will have a dramatic impact on property values.	3/6/2019 5:43 PM
21	Undoubtedly more homeless flocking to the area.	3/6/2019 5:41 PM
22	Keeping the area safe and clean.	3/6/2019 5:37 PM
23	I generally support it, but want to make sure it doesn't prevent a larger permanent housing development from being built there sooner.	3/6/2019 5:23 PM
24	safety concerns, traffic, and cleanliness.	3/6/2019 5:15 PM
25	Safety for families around the area. Cleanliness around the area.	3/6/2019 4:54 PM
26	Impact on tourism, public health (more people shooting up on the streets, defacating in public, etc), safety. This is the stupidest idea i have ever seen and London Breed should be removed from office. I will work hard to make sure an opponent replaces her from office. SF relies heavily on tourist dollars and we face a very real image problem in that regard. This will make things SO much worse.	3/6/2019 4:27 PM
27	Proper stewardship of the direct surroundings of the navigation center. This facility will need the support of several City departments: Public Works, SFPD, etc.	3/6/2019 4:22 PM
28	Who is going to "watch" all of these people - most who have drug and mental health issues?	3/6/2019 4:22 PM
29	Wanders, monitoring, people sleeping outside once filled, increase in garbage, human/animal waste. Mental illness uptake in the neighborhood with lack of police response or there being able to do anything about it. We don't need this area becoming the tenderloin.	3/6/2019 4:12 PM
30	Public safety, cleanliness.	3/6/2019 4:04 PM
31	Safety issues.	3/6/2019 4:02 PM
32	Safety & cleanliness!!	3/6/2019 3:50 PM

33	<p>1.)Homelessness has been a problem for decades in SF and won't be solved in four years. This promises to be a permanent installation. 2.) The number of guests the shelter serves far surpasses the number of homeless individuals in the surrounding area, which means it will collect guests from other parts of the city and relocate them to the shelter. 3.) While other navigation centers appear to have a good success rate, still, about 20% of the guests are asked to leave or leave voluntarily. Will these individuals then reside on the streets in the surrounding neighborhoods thus swelling the homeless population? 4.) homeless individuals in the area reportedly will be given priority for admission to the navigation center. Does this mean that homeless individuals will relocate to our neighborhood from SF and from surrounding cities and counties, knowing that they will be given priority for admission? What would stop this from happening? 5.) This site was chosen because it was "shovel ready." What does this mean that mobile units, trailers etc will be moved into the site in order to readily house the guests? Will this be a large trailer park located in our neighborhood? Won't this be more unsightly than the Embarcadero Freeway once was? 6.) In the 15 years that I have lived in this area, the city has provided almost no services or amenities for this area. There is virtually no police presence, inadequate street lighting, no city parks, no traffic control, no sidewalk cleaning aside from the CBD which the residents pay extra for. in addition the Port Authority provides very little in the way of services to the Embarcadero south of Market Street. Why should we believe that the city or Port Authority will provide any additional services to the area once the shelter is here. 7.) with 200-225 residents what will be done to abate the expected increase in crime, drug dealing, inappropriate behavior in the neighborhood. There is no police presence now and little interest shown by the police in curtailing property crimes. Will policing responsibilities as usual fall on the residents of the area and on the CBD? 8.) why is there not a "fair share" of responsibility for dealing with the homeless crisis from other districts of the city. I counted three parking lots on the north side of the Embarcadero in District 3, and all three could be used for navigation centers. Why are centers not being mandated there? Why do certain districts always have to carry the burden for the rest of the city while other districts (Pacific Heights, North Beach, Russian Hill, Sunset and Richmond, etc.) do nothing. This would be much more palatable if every other district in the city were also hosting a similar center simultaneously.</p>	3/6/2019 3:49 PM
34	I think it is not the best use of port land and will detract from the waterfront. We need to attract more people to the waterfront to enjoy the area. My fear is people will not want to go down that direction. It may also decrease Giants fans.	3/6/2019 3:25 PM
35	More garbage, more poop, more pee, no upkeep of the area or building, attraction of drug addicts, their friends, mentally ill, street thugs. Scaring tourist, mugging tourists and people going to Giants games, loss of yet more parking, eye soar.	3/6/2019 3:07 PM
36	Size of the shelter, why it's not in a commercial location vs. residential location, impact on local homeless population on the streets/increase in homeless, health/safety/sanitation concerns, site becoming permanent, loss of lot being used to address the need for housing and solution to the piers 30/32, which are crumbling and cannot be shored up or developed without the inclusion of lot 330 in any deal.	3/6/2019 2:58 PM
37	Safety, sanitation, vagrancy, disease, needles, vandalism, violence.	3/6/2019 2:57 PM
38	A shelter of this size would take some policing to keep from degrading into something like 6th street. It will surely be a different magnitude than a few tents you see today.	3/6/2019 2:56 PM
39	Whether it would increase the concentration and flow of homeless people in the area	3/6/2019 2:55 PM
40	I live 1 block away and have concerns the city will not manage the intake/outtake of visitors and those camping or coming and going will increase. I haven't noticed a sizable homeless population on the Embarcadero so this surprised me as a location. I also don't like that it would be on the forefront of the Embarcadero as a prominent feature, definitely affecting my property values.	3/6/2019 2:54 PM
41	Increased traffic, potential increased crime	3/6/2019 2:50 PM
42	Public safety and whether or not this is the best place for such a facility. The loss or revenue to the City is a concern as well.	3/5/2019 10:18 PM

Q3 Do you trust that the City will staff police, cleaning crews, mental health professionals, and paramedics in the nearby area adequately to handle those guests with drug and/or alcohol addiction problems, criminal histories, and mental health challenges?

Answered: 43 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	9.30% 4
Maybe, but that hasn't been our neighborhood's past experience	20.93% 9
No	58.14% 25
I don't know and have no opinion.	4.65% 2
Other (please specify)	6.98% 3
TOTAL	43

Q4 What can the City do to help ensure the success of the Navigation Center and the 175-225 guests so that we can be proud to continue the tradition of accepting people in our South of Market District neighborhood unwanted and shunned by the rest of San Francisco?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 2


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	We rarely see SFPD patrol cars in Rincon Hill - and when we do, it is during bankers hours and turning their heads when drivers speed, run red lights, and otherwise endanger pedestrians. We need police to be present and visible to help deter predators who may want to prey upon the guests at the shelter. We need to see mental health beds made available for the mentally ill - a shelter is not the solution for mental illness, in my opinion. We need the City to stop exploiting and geographically discriminating against SoMa residents when it is our neighborhood that is already treated like second class citizens, told we cannot have new parks unless we form a Community Benefit District to maintain them - meanwhile, we have condo buildings worth a billion dollars each (Lumina and Infinity), paying in \$11-\$13 million per building (in total) and still we get treated like second class with no school, no library services, no local bus line (the 12 Folsom bus was rerouted around Rincon Hill on December 5, 2009 - the 1,000 affordable units being built will have residents who could use a bus to more affordable groceries like Foods Co. on Folsom at Division), etc. We need to see that Sunset, Richmond, Marina, and other neighborhoods also do their part and open navigation centers too. As long as I've lived here, the City has pushed Community Benefit Districts on us - and now they want to push the mess of Civic Center and 6th Street on us too.	3/7/2019 6:54 PM
2	Not build it. If they do, have more physical presence of police. I would prefer that the city focus on building permanent facilities to assist those with mental illness.	3/7/2019 4:52 PM
3	DEMAND and hold accountable these organizations tasked with keeping all under control. Close the facility if there is any problem at all and charge the offending organization for costs.	3/7/2019 4:51 PM
4	Focus on homeless in the neighborhood first.	3/7/2019 4:30 PM
5	I wish I had an answer for this. I think my first response would be to have a smaller facility, perhaps housing 40 people give or take and having several other similar sized facilities in other parts of the city.	3/7/2019 10:21 AM
6	Clean up the streets, disallowing homeless to be in the neighborhood except in the shelter. Increase aid to the mentally ill. Increase foot patrol officers.	3/7/2019 12:11 AM
7	The City should provide regular reports on the Center's success rate, as measured in a number of ways. No one is expecting miracles, but the Center will certainly be better than tents.	3/6/2019 11:08 PM
8	set strict requirements that must be met, such as that those selected must start with those already within a certain stretch, i.e. from the center to Market St, or that neighbors would have direct contacts within the center to take immediate action to resolve any issues or complaints with a set timeline	3/6/2019 9:52 PM
9	Have appropriate standards and staffing along with good management	3/6/2019 9:48 PM
10	Staff it fully and properly. Like the Division St Nav Center, provide laundry facilities and ample bathrooms and showers so that public health and hygiene is improved around our area. EDUCATE people so they can be engaged and constructive instead of adopting the NIMBY attitude.	3/6/2019 9:13 PM
11	provide details of what plans will be in place to address the various homeless-related issues	3/6/2019 8:21 PM
12	Not build the Nav center	3/6/2019 8:10 PM

13	They can start by 1) properly policing existing criminal activities including car break in, the stolen bike trade at rincon dog park etc 2) Cleaning up human waste that is all over SOMA, especially the staircases near bridgeview and Beale, human waste frequently found at Sue B Children's play ground inside the kids play structure, along Harrison towards 4th street 3) Communicating with the SOMa residents more directly and show us what they have done for existing issues so our knee jerk reaction isn't to blame the homeless for everything and expect the city to shrug responsibilities. I have been attacked by mentally unstable homeless individuals while pushing a new born baby, had them throw things at the pram more than once that I am out of safe walking zones. I am petrified of walking near Bryant and Embarcadero because of the current encampment so the city needs to earn trust before asking the neighborhood to host this center.	3/6/2019 7:26 PM
14	The city could place the navigation center in an area more accessible for vulnerable populations and in an area with additional resources to assist vulnerable populations.	3/6/2019 7:09 PM
15	This is the wrong location. And frankly, he City needs to double or triple their budget for policing and maintenance of the neighborhood. As it is, residents are having to fund their own policing and cleanup through the CBD, and this will increase the burden.	3/6/2019 6:29 PM
16	Proud to accept? I'm appalled you are proud of half of the homeless population living in our district housed or not. Spread the load SF! Send these people to mental institutions, home, or use money to rent them an apartment. We need to build a mental hospital. Thanks to Reagan there are none! Fast forward 40 years and 25% of homeless people live in CA! Building a navigation center on the waterfront doesn't assist someone with bipolar disorder or schizophrenia. Hospitals. Mandatory 1 month holds.	3/6/2019 6:24 PM
17	Not build it	3/6/2019 6:08 PM
18	First clean up parts of the city in SOMA which are degenerating.	3/6/2019 5:54 PM
19	Put it somewhere else.	3/6/2019 5:43 PM
20	Put it somewhere else.	3/6/2019 5:41 PM
21	I don't want empty promises. We need accountability. There should be a neighborhood working group that meets with the navigation center officials on a regular basis to work out any issues as they arise.	3/6/2019 5:37 PM
22	Commit to putting a Navigation Center in every district within the next two years.	3/6/2019 5:23 PM
23	having enough law enforcement officers, regular cleaning	3/6/2019 5:15 PM
24	Make sure the area is staffed appropriately with staffing to keep the area clean and safe.	3/6/2019 4:54 PM
25	Keep people from sleepign on the streets, defacating on the streets, shooting up on the streets, emptying dumpsters out onto the streets, etc.	3/6/2019 4:27 PM
26	- Doing thorough outreach with surrounding properties, businesses, and neighbors - Offer walking tours of existing navigation centers - Address ALL concerns raised by the community on Next-door, SF Chronicle, surveys, and public meetings. Provide clear explanations of what happens if... - Name staff in charge in each department and keep departments accountable for results of the NV but also cleaning and safety of area. - Put the director of the NV on the Board of neighborhood associations so neighbors have an easy way to funnel feedback to the NV, without going through Mayor / Supervisors. - Explore partnership with The East Cut CBD (outside the district but someone need to keep folks at the NV engaged with community) - Be transparent, share data with the public - Tolerance 0 for crime and shenanigans around the NV	3/6/2019 4:22 PM
27	There is no trust whatsoever that anyone, even in a management position, will be able to ensure 'guests' will be taken of.	3/6/2019 4:22 PM
28	If they can't do it in the Tenderloin why should we believe they will do this in this area. Prove you can reasonably solve for the issues where you have them before creating new ones in nicer areas of town.	3/6/2019 4:12 PM
29	Cancel it	3/6/2019 4:04 PM
30	Provide more police in the area to insure that it won't cause danger to those living in the area.	3/6/2019 4:02 PM
31	Wrong location!!	3/6/2019 3:50 PM

32	<p>if the city were serious about making this a success rather than foisting in on my neighborhood with no community input or community outreach, there would have been more planning and discussion ahead of any announcement. There are ways this could have been approached successfully by first establishing how this center could actually be beneficial for the neighborhood, by proposing neighborhood enhancements, e.g. a policy of no tents or sidewalk encampments within an eight block area of the center, concrete plans for increased enhancement of the neighborhood, with better lighting, improved sidewalks, landscaping, more park space. Guaranteeing increased security in the neighborhood with explanations how this will be accomplished, either by more patrolling by police, stricter enforcement of quality of life issues, etc. If this could be sold to the residents as something that actually could enhance the neighborhood, then it could be successful. Just pushing this on the neighborhood, putting in a bunch of unsightly trailers with no accommodations for the security and welfare of the neighborhood, and allowing the neighborhood go to shit, however, will make this neighborhood the laughingstock of the city and no other neighborhood will ever want a Navigation Center.</p>	3/6/2019 3:49 PM
33	<p>I feel we have taken our fair share into our neighborhood and would like there to be more support for a Navigation Center in other parts of the city. We do not have enough resources today to handle what happens on our streets and neighborhoods; hence, the CBD formation.</p>	3/6/2019 3:25 PM
34	<p>Put other people in charge instead of them. I gave zero confidence in any elected official or the police force for that matter.</p>	3/6/2019 3:07 PM
35	<p>Locate a more suitable site. There are two smaller sites that could be used seawall lot 351 and Seawall lot 314 that would be the more optimal size for 1 or 2 more navigation centers along the waterfront. A mega center has never been attempted and is against the concept of the current nav centers.</p>	3/6/2019 2:58 PM
36	<p>Find a new location. Shelters in the middle of high density housing, highly trafficked pedestrian areas for residents and tourists is an absolute terrible proposal. This neighborhood is not responsible or obligated to provide this service or shelter. It should be placed where the impact to the surrounding area is minimized - not magnified.</p>	3/6/2019 2:57 PM
37	<p>It will attract more homeless people of those who are friends of the ones from 175-225, creating full of needles, and feces as we have seen in 5th and 6th St situations</p>	3/6/2019 2:56 PM
38	<p>Provide examples of how this is working in other locations</p>	3/6/2019 2:55 PM
39	<p>24 hour security that patrols and ENFORCES campers/loitering and drug use. I feel safe in my neighborhood now and am really concerned that we would have to move after 9 years, it makes me really sad.</p>	3/6/2019 2:54 PM
40	<p>Have a clear plan for getting people back on their feet, high amounts of transparency in terms of results and where money is being spent</p>	3/6/2019 2:50 PM
41	<p>An additional concern that I have is that the air quality at that site is the worst in the area. We have not nearly enough places for children and there may be challenges at eh newly opened Brannan street park</p>	3/5/2019 10:18 PM

Q5 What is your preferred way to learn and to provide input about this and other matters that affect our quality of life in SoMa?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person meeting on a weekday evening	23.81%	10
In-person meeting on a weekend afternoon	19.05%	8
Webinar/online interactive format with questions typed in on a weekday evening	30.95%	13
Webinar/online interactive format with questions typed in on a weekend afternoon	7.14%	3
Webinar/online interactive format with queued live voice questions on a weekday evening	9.52%	4
Webinar/online interactive format with queued live voice questions on a weekend afternoon	0.00%	0
Emails and online surveys to gather input	71.43%	30
Online surveys only	11.90%	5
Email only	11.90%	5
Other (please specify)	11.90%	5

Total Respondents: 42

Q6 Do you plan to attend the Tuesday, March 12th meeting at 6pm-7:30pm at Delancey Street Foundation, enter at gate at 600 Embarcadero, San Francisco, CA94107 hosted by the City government?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	45.24%	19
No	54.76%	23
TOTAL		42

Q9 Any other comments that you would like relayed to Mayor London Breed about her proposal or our District 6 Supervisor Matt Haney?

Answered: 30 Skipped: 13

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	District 6 provides the majority of the windfall money in San Francisco by losing our sunlight to 400' tall buildings to compensate for west San Francisco's fear of anything higher than 40' or single family homes, dying sooner with bad air quality from all the traffic congestion on Bay Bridge and nearby, getting hit and killed by drivers who run red lights and make illegal turns, and lied to about the Rincon Hill Infrastructure Financing District formed in 2011 under the lie from the City that it would lead to new parks - where is our park now that the East Cut CBD has been formed?	3/7/2019 6:54 PM
2	Please address the needs of the mentally ill, which navigation centers do not. And if the city is really committed to help people, have all neighborhoods share the responsibility.	3/7/2019 4:52 PM
3	Speed up work on protected bike lanes on Howard, Folsom, Townsend, Embarcadero North East of 4th Street. All we get is shitty unprotected bike lanes blocked by traffic.	3/7/2019 4:30 PM
4	I'd be curious to hear if there are other proposed locations and where they may be located.	3/7/2019 10:21 AM
5	no.	3/7/2019 12:11 AM
6	Since homelessness is such a prominent issue, I expect them to propose and implement solutions that work.	3/6/2019 11:08 PM
7	I am all in favour of socialism and helping the poor, but don't think the city is doing it correctly. I have never understood why using tax money to encourage drugs use, is part of helping the poor. Am I the only one questioning this? I am all for a shelter for homeless people but please ban drugs. I clearly live in the wrong city.	3/6/2019 10:48 PM
8	I wish you the best of luck. Even though I agree that something needs to be done... this is going to be a tough fight to make happen here.	3/6/2019 9:52 PM
9	These are one step to solving our homelessness issue. It may not be perfect, and we will fumble here and there, but it's progress. I've met many Nav Ctr residents who are genuinely good people that just need help at this time.	3/6/2019 9:13 PM
10	What other neighborhoods are being targeted for Navigation Centers / services to address the needs of the homeless?	3/6/2019 8:21 PM
11	What other locations did they consider for the center, and why did they decide on SOMA?	3/6/2019 7:26 PM
12	I support your approach to homelessness generally, and I'm seeing some improvements, so bravo on that. But this seems like the wrong location. There are many, many empty storefronts or giant empty lobbies or condo sales centers that might be a better fit. How about the former Performance Bicycles on Brannan? That's a big space, and has been empty a long time.	3/6/2019 6:29 PM
13	Hey London and Matt, 2 cruise ships would house all homeless people now while you build low income housing and a mental hospital. People need to get off the street now. Showers. Toilets. Beds. We cannot wait. BUILD HOUSING!	3/6/2019 6:24 PM
14	No	3/6/2019 6:08 PM
15	Please find another more appropriate option for this navigation center like where there are more homeless.	3/6/2019 5:41 PM
16	Hopefully, Matt will stand by this project, even in the face of strong neighborhood opposition.	3/6/2019 5:37 PM
17	This site has been wasted storing parked cars for far too long.	3/6/2019 5:23 PM
18	I think it's great to provide housing to the homeless. I want to hear more about how the staffing will support the center. Also, I'd like to reiterate supervisor Haney's comments about other neighborhoods in SF supporting as well. As far as I know other neighborhoods have little to no support for homeless.	3/6/2019 4:54 PM

19	Impact on tourism, public health (more people shooting up on the streets, defecating in public, etc), safety. This is the stupidest idea i have ever seen and London Breed should be removed from office. I will work hard to make sure an opponent replaces her from office. SF relies heavily on tourist dollars and we face a very real image problem in that regard. This will make things SO much worse.	3/6/2019 4:27 PM
20	1. Accountability 2. Let's make this work and fix this horrible situation once and for all	3/6/2019 4:22 PM
21	Will the space include the "ok-to-shoot-up" rooms as well? Why put this facility in a space that will be ruined with trash and filth from people that do not care about themselves - and to that comment - most people that are on the streets choose to be. They are addicts and want to stay in their situation without rules, laws or boundaries.	3/6/2019 4:22 PM
22	Will other neighborhoods such as Pacific Heights and Hayes Valley also be housing navigation centers and if not, why?	3/6/2019 4:02 PM
23	Pick another more suitable location!	3/6/2019 3:50 PM
24	There was never a discussion and we hear about something this big in the news. Not OK. We need to hear from all sides and think about the long standing impact this may have.	3/6/2019 3:25 PM
25	I really don't appreciate the way she has ram rodded this through behind our backs. I do not trust her at all. What possible benefit could this district gain from using prime space as a halfway house. Navigation center good idea but ridiculous to use prime waterfront area to build this. These people do not need to be on the waterfront. I m sorry but they have not worked or earned the right to be on the waterfront even temporarily. I m all for helping them but let's be sensible. Curious if there is a monetary payoff associated with this from possibly developers who will not have to include low income housing in their high rise towers.	3/6/2019 3:07 PM
26	Consider other more appropriate locations and sizes in commercial space or CalTrans property, including under the freeways in SF vs. residential neighborhoods.	3/6/2019 2:58 PM
27	Find a new location. Shelters in the middle of high density housing, highly trafficked pedestrian areas for residents and tourists is an absolute terrible proposal. This neighborhood is not responsible or obligated to provide this service or shelter. It should be placed where the impact to the surrounding area is minimized - not magnified.	3/6/2019 2:57 PM
28	Please start focusing on how to improve the quality of the neighborhood and stop building affordable housing and homeless shelters around the most expensive neighborhood where people living in high rise condos can't even afford to shop at grocery shops and use services around here. This is not good for both residents and businesses.	3/6/2019 2:56 PM
29	I would like assurances that this temporary transition center would in fact be temporary and would have a hard time limit of max 4 years, which still seems incredibly long.	3/6/2019 2:54 PM
30	I want to be sure that we have city wide plans to address this issue and that we are not turning Seawall lot 330 into a dumping ground	3/5/2019 10:18 PM